

# Participatory Design with People with Dementia as an Instance of Bridging Design and Ethnographies

Ralf Vetter

ralf.vetter@plus.ac.at

Department for Artificial Intelligence and Human Interfaces, University of Salzburg  
Salzburg, Austria

## ABSTRACT

This paper discusses how ethnographic methods and design anthropology have been applied in Participatory Design with people living with dementia. Drawing from the literature in Human-Computer Interaction, the importance of empathy, relationality and reflexivity in designing with people with dementia are emphasized. Both the sub-community conducting Participatory Design with people living with dementia in Human-Robot Interaction, and the broader community working with ethnographic approaches may profit from these principles and approaches in bridging ethnographies and design.

## CCS CONCEPTS

• **Human-centered computing** → **Ethnographic studies**; *Empirical studies in HCI*.

## KEYWORDS

Care Robots, People with Dementia, Participatory Design, Ethnographic Studies, Design Anthropology

### ACM Reference Format:

Ralf Vetter. 2024. Participatory Design with People with Dementia as an Instance of Bridging Design and Ethnographies. In *Proceedings of the 2024 ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI '24)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. <https://doi.org/XXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The International Classification of Diseases (ICD) defines dementia as an umbrella term of various progressive and irreversible neurodegenerative disorders. These disorders are characterized by cognitive impairments, including memory loss, speech and motor difficulties, and altered reasoning, perception, and orientation. Such changes often result in behavioral and psychological challenges for both the individual with dementia and their care network [19]. Age is a key predictor for developing a form of dementia, and in the absence of effective prevention and treatment, the aging global population suggests a surge of worldwide dementia cases from 55 million to 139 million people living with dementia (PwD) by 2050 [16, 20]. In response to associated challenges in providing adequate dementia

care, there is an increasing interest in technological solutions. The World Health Organization for example highlights a demand for innovations along and across all dementia care pathways including solutions such as artificial intelligence and robotics [20].

Research within Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) and social robotics has aimed to develop solutions aiding PwD and their carepartners [for an overview 2]. Yet, dementia is often considered from a pathological, biomedical model, focusing on mitigating dementia-related challenges such as memory loss or speech finding problems through technology [10]. Such an approach is not only inconsiderate of the lived experiences of people with dementia and their carepartners, but may ultimately cause a gap between technological advancements and their adoption or acceptance in dementia care [12, 15]. Therefore, from outside [21] and within academia [9], calls for more inclusive, engaging, and empowering design processes, striving for more meaningful outcomes have emerged. Participatory Design (PD) is one design approach focused on notions of stakeholder inclusion and empowerment and has a long history in the field of Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) [1] and has been successfully adopted to design with PwD [14, 15, 17].

In writing this manuscript, I embody one voice of the transdisciplinary research project Caring Robots // Robotic Care. The project has set out to engage in participatory design processes of robotic care technologies for older adult care in order to develop novel, meaningful solutions. One primary focus within the project involves designing for and with people with dementia. This focus has compelled me to examine existing PD approaches with PwD. In the following section, I illustrate selected methodological approaches and commitments within PD with PwD and hope to illustrate and spark discussion on successfully bridging ethnographic and design research.

## 2 PARTICIPATORY DESIGN WITH PEOPLE WITH DEMENTIA

Ethnographic methods such as (participant) observations, interviews or video studies have been integrated into the early stages of participatory design processes with the principle goal to understand the practices and context of design from the perspectives of participants, fostering relationships and mutual learning as foundations to initiate following design activities [1]. Applications of ethnographic methods within PD has sparked discussions concerning their nature and purpose in PD, building a bridge to practices of the domain of design anthropology [13]. Design anthropology integrates elements from both ethnographic and design methodologies, combining the descriptive accounts of contexts, relationships and practices, alongside theoretical frameworks derived from ethnographies with the generative processes of creation, innovation and solution inherent

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).

HRI '24,

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.  
ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM  
<https://doi.org/XXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

to design. The integration of both aims to develop interventions transforming the present grounded in contextual understandings and theoretical sensitivities [3, 13].

In the participatory design with people with dementia, Hendriks et al. explicitly refer to their approach as one instance of design anthropology, illustrating specific methods and commitments for bridging ethnographic and design research [4].

In their research encompassing multiple workshops, field studies and university courses, the authors delineate a set of qualities and processes for advancing in a design anthropology approach. Central to all activities stand commitments to empathy [18] and to relationality between designers and participants [6], highlighting the importance of meaningful connections. In their perspective designing becomes a collaborative activity between an individual designer and an individual participant. The approach prioritizes the nuanced understanding a designer gains from the engagement with participants' lived experiences, over designing for the collective needs of groups of people [18]. Developing such an understanding requires prolonged interactions without pre-defined design objectives [4] and a grasp of participants' past experiences, their present ways of interpretation and communication, and potential future aspirations [18].

In building empathetic relationships, the authors propose four guiding principles, which are particularly pertinent for designing with PwD in advanced stages of dementia, where participation becomes predominantly implicit [4]. These principles are:

- (1) Reflective actions urge designers to consider the nuances in establishing relationships with PwD and potentially other stakeholders. It is essential to identify and navigate decisions in the relationship. In practice, this could be accomplished by keeping extensive field notes or collaborative reflections with fellow designers, aimed at identifying subtle details from the relations, such as specific preferences of the PwD.
- (2) Constructing a narrative of the PwD can aid designers in making informed choices, drawing on the portrait of the PwD. At this point, the incorporation of other stakeholders can enrich the narrative, offering a deeper understanding of the PwD and informing possible design choices.
- (3) Using role-playing activities to examine the role of design outcomes in everyday scenarios. Such activities can involve secondary stakeholders or be performed with PwD directly.
- (4) The last principle entails how materializing empathetic relationships into tangible design narratives and outcomes allows for a holistic evaluation, ensuring rigor and transparency requirements are met.

While not explicitly referencing design anthropology, various researchers have incorporated ethnographic methods into design processes with PwD, and have followed similar pathways as depicted by Hendriks et al. For instance, Morrissey et al. conducted an Experience-Centered Design approach [11] over three years in older adult care homes. Their methodology consisted of extensive fieldwork and participant observations, with one of the authors conducting prolonged visits to the care home. The author documented their subjective experiences and observations throughout the fieldwork, paying particular attention to the nuances of interactions with participating PwD and how their relation formed

and evolved over time. Building on their fieldnotes, the authors report developing a sensitivity for the lived experiences of participants, comprehending PwD's expressions and interactions as input for design processes. This sensitivity was additionally instructive to conducting a collaborative design process, aiding the selection of creative activities that participants would enjoy, and exploring prototypes in manners appropriate to the abilities of participants.

The authors equally articulate several principles conducive to perform their ethnographically inspired design research with PwD [11]:

- (1) Embracing openness and flexibility to explore and adjust to participants' experiences, facilitating identifying meaningful design directions and activities.
- (2) Entering the often altered realities of PwD in an empathetic manner, promoting collaboration and avoiding to elicit negative emotions by correcting them.
- (3) Seeing the design process as a collaborative negotiation of potential futures

Both groups of authors have identified challenges inherent in their design approaches. Morrissey et al. [11] report on increased sensitivity in navigating the relationship with and realities of participants. Designers are called upon to flexibly assume diverse and multiple roles to participants - such as being a family member or care worker of the PwD. Conversely, Hendriks et al. [4] reflect on the complexities of ending engagements with PwD. Building and sustaining empathetic relations with participants involves significant emotional and temporal investments from both partners. As such relationships evolve beyond pragmatic connections between researcher and informant, ending these and withdrawing from the context poses an ethical challenge to researchers.

In scoping the literature within HRI, it becomes evident that participatory design studies with PwD often adopt approaches resembling more traditional PD methods such as workshops, interviews or collaborative prototyping including explicit decision-making phases [8, 9]. Such methods however, might not represent the most effective approaches conduct PD with PwD due to individual progression and expression of their dementia, and aforementioned limited cognitive capabilities [5–7]. Consequently, I hope this position paper offers two impulses to the HRI community: 1) Encouraging exploration of PD of robotic technologies with PwD that incorporate the empathetic, relational and reflexive approaches outlined above; and 2) Urging the broader HRI community, particularly those following ethnographic research methods, to consider principles of design anthropology and applied instances such as designing with PwD as guidance how ethnography and design can be bridged.

Considering the premature state of the approaches, I propose to explore and discuss the following questions with workshop participants:

- What essential qualities can ethnographic research contribute to the design process, and how can ethnographies be conducted to facilitate bridging it with design activities from a perspective of design anthropology?
- How can ethnographic research and design activities be facilitated across teams of researchers, designers, and developers?

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by a FWF #ConnectingMinds grant to the project Caring Robots // Robotic Care (CM 100-N).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Susanne Bødker, Christian Dindler, Ole S. Iversen, and Rachel C. Smith. 2022. *Participatory Design*. Springer International Publishing, Cham. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-02235-7>
- [2] Moojan Ghafurian, Jesse Hoyer, and Kerstin Dautenhahn. 2021. Social Robots for the Care of Persons with Dementia: A Systematic Review. *ACM Transactions on Human-Robot Interaction* 10, 4 (Dec. 2021), 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3469653>
- [3] Wendy Gunn, Ton Otto, and Rachel Charlotte Smith (Eds.). 2013. *Design Anthropology: Theory and Practice*. Bloomsbury, London ; New York.
- [4] Niels Hendriks, Liesbeth Huybrechts, Karin Slegers, and Andrea Wilkinson. 2018. Valuing Implicit Decision-Making in Participatory Design: A Relational Approach in Design with People with Dementia. *Design Studies* 59 (Nov. 2018), 58–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.destud.2018.06.001>
- [5] Niels Hendriks, Liesbeth Huybrechts, Andrea Wilkinson, and Karin Slegers. 2014. Challenges in Doing Participatory Design with People with Dementia. In *Proceedings of the 13th Participatory Design Conference: Short Papers, Industry Cases, Workshop Descriptions, Doctoral Consortium Papers, and Keynote Abstracts - Volume 2*. ACM, Windhoek Namibia, 33–36. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2662155.2662196>
- [6] Niels Hendriks, Karin Slegers, and Andrea Wilkinson. 2020. Against Dedicated Methods: Relational Expertise in Participatory Design with People with Dementia. In *HCI and Design in the Context of Dementia*, Rens Brankaert and Gail Kenning (Eds.). Springer International Publishing, Cham, 97–109. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-32835-1\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-32835-1_7)
- [7] Niels Hendriks, Frederik Truyen, and Erik Duval. 2013. Designing with Dementia: Guidelines for Participatory Design Together with Persons with Dementia. In *Human-Computer Interaction – INTERACT 2013*, David Hutchison, Takeo Kanade, Josef Kittler, Jon M. Kleinberg, Friedemann Mattern, John C. Mitchell, Moni Naor, Oscar Nierstrasz, C. Pandu Rangan, Bernhard Steffen, Madhu Sudan, Demetri Terzopoulos, Doug Tygar, Moshe Y. Vardi, Gerhard Weikum, Paula Kotzé, Gary Marsden, Gitte Lindgaard, Janet Wesson, and Marco Winckler (Eds.). Vol. 8117. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 649–666. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-40483-2\\_46](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-40483-2_46)
- [8] Long-Jing Hsu, Janice K Bays, Katherine M. Tsui, and Selma Sabanovic. 2023. Co-Designing Social Robots with People Living with Dementia: Fostering Identity, Connectedness, Security, and Autonomy. In *Proceedings of the 2023 ACM Designing Interactive Systems Conference*. ACM, Pittsburgh PA USA, 2672–2688. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3563657.3595987>
- [9] Hee Rin Lee, Selma Šabanović, Wan-Ling Chang, Shinichi Nagata, Jen Piatt, Casey Bennett, and David Hakken. 2017. Steps Toward Participatory Design of Social Robots: Mutual Learning with Older Adults with Depression. In *HRI'17*. Vienna, Austria, 244–253. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2909824.3020237>
- [10] Hee Rin Lee, Fei Sun, Tariq Iqbal, and Brenda Roberts. 2023. Reimagining Robots for Dementia: From Robots for Care-receivers/Giver to Robots for Carepartners. In *Proceedings of the 2023 ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction*. ACM, Stockholm Sweden, 475–484. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3568162.3578624>
- [11] Kellie Morrissey, John McCarthy, and Nadia Pantidi. 2017. The Value of Experience-Centred Design Approaches in Dementia Research Contexts. In *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, Denver Colorado USA, 1326–1338. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025527>
- [12] Britt Östlund, Monica Malvezzi, Susanne Frennert, Michael Funk, Jose Gonzalez-Vargas, Kilian Baur, Dimitris Alimisis, Freygardur Thorsteinsson, Antonio Alonso-Cepeda, Guillaume Fau, Florian Haufe, Massimo Di Pardo, and Juan C. Moreno. 2023. Interactive Robots for Health in Europe: Technology Readiness and Adoption Potential. *Frontiers in Public Health* 11 (March 2023), 979225. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.979225>
- [13] Rachel Charlotte Smith and Mette Gislev Kjærsgaard. 2014. Design Anthropology in Participatory Design from Ethnography to Anthropological Critique?. In *Proceedings of the 13th Participatory Design Conference: Short Papers, Industry Cases, Workshop Descriptions, Doctoral Consortium Papers, and Keynote Abstracts - Volume 2*. ACM, Windhoek Namibia, 217–218. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2662155.2662209>
- [14] Marijke Span, Marike Hettinga, Myrra Vernooij-Dassen, Jan Eefsting, and Carolien Smits. 2013. Involving People with Dementia in the Development of Supportive IT Applications: A Systematic Review. *Ageing Research Reviews* 12, 2 (March 2013), 535–551. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2013.01.002>
- [15] Sandra Suijkerbuijk, Henk Herman Nap, Lotte Cornelisse, Wijnand A. IJsselstein, Yvonne A.W. De Kort, and Mirella M.N. Minkman. 2019. Active Involvement of People with Dementia: A Systematic Review of Studies Developing Supportive Technologies. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* 69, 4 (June 2019), 1041–1065. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-190050>
- [16] United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. 2022. *World Population Prospects 2022: Summary of Results*. United Nations, New York.
- [17] Gubing Wang, Chiara Marradi, Armagan Albayrak, and Tischa J.M. Van Der Cammen. 2019. Co-Designing with People with Dementia: A Scoping Review of Involving People with Dementia in Design Research. *Maturitas* 127 (Sept. 2019), 55–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2019.06.003>
- [18] Andrea Wilkinson and Niels Hendriks. 2022. *The Emergence of Empathy* (1 ed.). Routledge, New York, 77–90. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003095460-7>
- [19] World Health Organization. 2019. *International Classification of Diseases (11th Ed.)*.
- [20] World Health Organization. 2021. *Global Status Report on the Public Health Response to Dementia*. World Health Organization, Geneva.
- [21] World Health Organization. 2022. *A Blueprint for Dementia Research*. World Health Organization, Geneva.

Received 02 February 2024